Dear Colleague:

Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972\(^1\) (Title IX) prohibits discrimination on the basis of sex in education programs and activities by recipients of Federal financial assistance, which include schools, colleges and universities. Since its passage, Title IX has dramatically increased academic, athletic and employment opportunities for women and girls. Title IX stands for the proposition that equality of opportunity in America is not rhetoric, but rather a guiding principle.

Although there has been indisputable progress since Title IX was enacted, notably in interscholastic and intercollegiate athletic programs, sex discrimination unfortunately continues to exist in many education programs and activities. I am committed to the vigorous enforcement of Title IX to resolve this discrimination and to provide clear policy guidance to assist a recipient institution (institution) in making the promise of Title IX a reality for all.

To that end, on behalf of the Office for Civil Rights (OCR) of the U.S. Department of Education (Department), it is my pleasure to provide you with this “Intercollegiate Athletics Policy Clarification: The Three-Part Test – Part Three.” With this letter, the Department is withdrawing the “Additional Clarification of Intercollegiate Athletics Policy: Three Part Test – Part Three” (2005 Additional Clarification) and all related documents accompanying it, including the “User’s Guide to Student Interest Surveys under Title IX” (User’s Guide) and related technical report, that were issued by the Department on March 17, 2005.

OCR enforces Title IX and its implementing regulation.\(^2\) The regulation contains specific provisions governing athletic programs\(^3\) and the awarding of athletic scholarships.\(^4\) Specifically, the Title IX regulation provides that if an institution operates or sponsors an athletic program, it must provide equal athletic opportunities for members of both sexes.\(^5\) In determining whether equal athletic opportunities are available, the regulation requires OCR to consider whether an institution is effectively accommodating the athletic interests and abilities of students of both sexes.\(^6\)

\(^1\) 20 U.S.C. § 1681 et seq.
\(^2\) 34 C.F.R. Part 106.
\(^3\) 34 C.F.R. § 106.41.
\(^4\) 34 C.F.R. § 106.37(c).
\(^5\) 34 C.F.R. § 106.41(c).
\(^6\) 34 C.F.R. § 106.41(c)(1). The Title IX regulation at 34 C.F.R. § 106.41(c) provides that OCR also will consider other factors when determining whether equal athletic opportunity is available at an institution. This Dear Colleague
The “Intercollegiate Athletics Policy Interpretation”\(^7\) (1979 Policy Interpretation), published on December 11, 1979, provides additional guidance on the Title IX intercollegiate athletic regulatory requirements.\(^8\) The 1979 Policy Interpretation sets out a three-part test that OCR uses to assess whether an institution is effectively accommodating the athletic interests and abilities of its students to the extent necessary to provide equal athletic opportunity.\(^9\) On January 16, 1996, OCR issued the “Clarification of Intercollegiate Athletics Policy Guidance: The Three-Part Test” (1996 Clarification) to provide additional clarification on all parts of the three-part test, including the specific factors that OCR uses to evaluate compliance under the third part of the three-part test (Part Three).\(^10\)

In 2005, OCR issued the Additional Clarification regarding application of the indicators in the 1996 Clarification that guided OCR’s analysis of Part Three. The accompanying User’s Guide included a prototype survey instrument (model survey) that institutions could use to measure student interest in participating in intercollegiate athletics and included specific guidance on its implementation. The Additional Clarification and User’s Guide changed OCR’s approach from an analysis of multiple indicators to a reliance on a single survey instrument to demonstrate that an institution is accommodating student interests and abilities in compliance with Part Three. After careful review, OCR has determined that the 2005 Additional Clarification and the User’s Guide are inconsistent with the nondiscriminatory methods of assessment set forth in the 1979 Policy Interpretation and the 1996 Clarification and do not provide the appropriate and necessary clarity regarding nondiscriminatory assessment methods, including surveys, under Part Three. Accordingly, the Department is withdrawing the 2005 Additional Clarification and User’s Guide, including the model survey. All other Department policies on Part Three remain in effect and provide the applicable standards for evaluating Part Three compliance.

Given the resource limitations faced by institutions throughout the nation and the effect on institutions’ athletics programs, I recognize the importance of assisting institutions in developing their own assessment methods that retain the flexibility to meet their unique circumstances, but are consistent with the nondiscrimination requirements of the Title IX regulation. Therefore, this Dear Colleague letter reaffirms, and provides additional clarification

---

\(^7\) 44 Fed. Reg. 71413 (1979). The 1979 Policy Interpretation was published by the former Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, and was adopted by the Department of Education when it was established in 1980.

\(^8\) Although the 1979 Policy Interpretation is designed for intercollegiate athletics, its general principles, and those of this letter, often will apply to interscholastic, club, and intramural athletic programs. 44 Fed. Reg. at 71413.

\(^9\) Furthermore, the Title IX regulation requires institutions to provide equal athletic opportunities in intercollegiate, interscholastic, club, and intramural athletics. 34 C.F.R. § 106.41(c).

\(^10\) As discussed in the 1979 Policy Interpretation, OCR also considers the quality of competitive opportunities offered to members of both sexes in determining whether an institution effectively accommodates the athletic interests and abilities of its students. 44 Fed. Reg. at 71418.

\(^10\) OCR’s “Further Clarification of Intercollegiate Athletics Policy Guidance Regarding Title IX Compliance,” which was issued as a Dear Colleague letter on July 11, 2003, also reincorporated the 1996 Clarification’s broad range of specific factors and illustrative examples.
on, the multiple indicators discussed in the 1996 Clarification that guide OCR’s analysis of whether institutions are in compliance with Part Three, as well as the nondiscriminatory implementation of a survey as one assessment technique.

**The Three-Part Test**

As discussed above, OCR uses the three-part test to determine whether an institution is providing nondiscriminatory athletic participation opportunities in compliance with the Title IX regulation. The test provides the following three compliance options:

1. Whether intercollegiate level participation opportunities for male and female students are provided in numbers substantially proportionate to their respective enrollments; or

2. Where the members of one sex have been and are underrepresented among intercollegiate athletes, whether the institution can show a history and continuing practice of program expansion which is demonstrably responsive to the developing interests and abilities of the members of that sex; or

3. Where the members of one sex are underrepresented among intercollegiate athletes, and the institution cannot show a history and continuing practice of program expansion, as described above, whether it can be demonstrated that the interests and abilities of the members of that sex have been fully and effectively accommodated by the present program.\(^\text{11}\)

The three-part test is intended to allow institutions to maintain flexibility and control over their athletic programs consistent with Title IX’s nondiscrimination requirements. As stated in the 1996 Clarification, “[T]he three-part test furnishes an institution with three individual avenues to choose from when determining how it will provide individuals of each sex with nondiscriminatory opportunities to participate in intercollegiate athletics. If an institution has met any part of the three-part test, OCR will determine that the institution is meeting this requirement.”

**Part Three of the Three-Part Test — Fully and Effectively Accommodating the Interests and Abilities of the Underrepresented Sex**

This letter focuses on Part Three — whether an institution is fully and effectively accommodating the athletic interests and abilities of the underrepresented sex. As the 1996 Clarification indicates, while disproportionately high athletic participation rates by an institution’s students of the overrepresented sex (as compared to their enrollment rates) may indicate that an institution is not providing equal athletic opportunities to its students of the underrepresented sex, an institution can satisfy Part Three if it can show that the underrepresented sex is not being denied opportunities, i.e., that the interests and abilities of

\(^{11}\) 44 Fed. Reg. at 71418.
the underrepresented sex are fully and effectively accommodated. This letter provides information that guides OCR in its evaluation of compliance with Part Three and the nondiscriminatory implementation of assessments of students’ athletic interests and abilities under it.

Under Part Three, the focus is on full and effective accommodation of the interests and abilities of the institution’s students who are members of the underrepresented sex — including students who are admitted to the institution though not yet enrolled. As stated in the 1996 Clarification, and as further discussed below, in determining compliance with Part Three, OCR considers all of the following three questions:

1. Is there unmet interest in a particular sport?
2. Is there sufficient ability to sustain a team in the sport?
3. Is there a reasonable expectation of competition for the team?

If the answer to all three questions is “Yes,” OCR will find that an institution is not fully and effectively accommodating the interests and abilities of the underrepresented sex and therefore is not in compliance with Part Three.

A. Unmet Interest and Ability — OCR Evaluation Criteria

In determining whether an institution has unmet interest and ability to support an intercollegiate team in a particular sport, OCR evaluates a broad range of indicators, including:

- whether an institution uses nondiscriminatory methods of assessment when determining the athletic interests and abilities of its students;
- whether a viable team for the underrepresented sex recently was eliminated;
- multiple indicators of interest;
- multiple indicators of ability; and
- frequency of conducting assessments.

Each of these five criteria is described below. Following the discussion of these criteria, this section provides technical assistance recommendations for effective assessment procedures and the nondiscriminatory implementation of a survey as one component of assessing the interests and abilities of students of the underrepresented sex. This section concludes with a discussion of the multiple indicators OCR evaluates to determine whether there are a sufficient number of students with unmet interest and ability to sustain a new intercollegiate team.

OCR examines an institution’s recruitment practices under another part of the 1979 Policy Interpretation. See 44 Fed. Reg. at 71417. Accordingly, where an institution recruits potential student athletes for its men’s teams, it must ensure that its women’s teams are provided with substantially equal opportunities to recruit potential student athletes.
1. Nondiscriminatory Methods of Assessment

Under Part Three, OCR evaluates whether an institution uses processes and methods for assessing the athletic interests and abilities of its students of the underrepresented sex that are consistent with the nondiscrimination standards set forth in the 1979 Policy Interpretation. The 1979 Policy Interpretation states that institutions may determine the athletic interests and abilities of students by nondiscriminatory methods of their choosing provided:

a. The processes take into account the nationally increasing levels of women’s interests and abilities;

b. The methods of determining interest and ability do not disadvantage the members of an underrepresented sex;

c. The methods of determining ability take into account team performance records; and

d. The methods are responsive to the expressed interests of students capable of intercollegiate competition who are members of an underrepresented sex.\textsuperscript{13}

An institution should document its assessment of students’ interests and abilities.

2. Assessments Not Used To Eliminate Viable Teams

As discussed in the 1996 Clarification, if an institution recently has eliminated a viable team for the underrepresented sex from the intercollegiate athletics program, OCR will find that there is sufficient interest, ability, and available competition to sustain an intercollegiate team in that sport and thus there would be a presumption that the institution is not in compliance with Part Three. This presumption can be overcome if the institution can provide strong evidence that interest, ability, or competition no longer exists.

Accordingly, OCR does not consider the failure by students to express interest during a survey under Part Three as evidence sufficient to justify the elimination of a current and viable intercollegiate team for the underrepresented sex. In other words, students participating on a viable intercollegiate team have expressed interest by active participation, and OCR does not use survey results to nullify that expressed interest.

3. Multiple Indicators Evaluated to Assess Interest

OCR considers a broad range of indicators to assess whether there is unmet athletic interest among the underrepresented sex. These indicators guide OCR in determining whether the institution has measured the interests of students of the underrepresented sex using nondiscriminatory methods consistent with the 1979 Policy Interpretation. As discussed in the

\textsuperscript{13} 44 \textit{Fed. Reg.} at 71417.
1996 Clarification, OCR evaluates the interests of the underrepresented sex by examining the following list of non-exhaustive indicators:

- requests by students and admitted students that a particular sport be added;
- requests for the elevation of an existing club sport to intercollegiate status;
- participation in club or intramural sports;
- interviews with students, admitted students, coaches, administrators and others regarding interests in particular sports;
- results of surveys or questionnaires of students and admitted students regarding interests in particular sports;\(^\text{14}\)
- participation in interscholastic sports by admitted students; and
- participation rates in sports in high schools, amateur athletic associations, and community sports leagues that operate in areas from which the institution draws its students.\(^\text{15}\)

In accordance with the 1996 Clarification, OCR also will consider the likely interest\(^\text{16}\) of the underrepresented sex by looking at participation in intercollegiate sports in the institution's normal competitive regions.

### 4. Multiple Indicators Evaluated to Assess Ability

As discussed in the 1996 Clarification, OCR considers a range of indicators to assess whether there is sufficient ability among interested students of the underrepresented sex to sustain a team in the sport. When making this determination, OCR examines indicators such as:

- the athletic experience and accomplishments — in interscholastic, club or intramural competition — of underrepresented students and admitted students interested in playing the sport;

---

\(^{14}\) OCR evaluates all of the indicators discussed here so OCR does not consider survey results alone as sufficient evidence of lack of interest under Part Three.

\(^{15}\) As discussed in the 1996 Clarification, this indicator may be helpful to OCR in ascertaining likely interest of an institution's students and admitted students in particular sports, especially in the absence of more direct indicia. However, in conducting its investigations, OCR determines whether an institution is meeting the actual interests and abilities of its students and admitted students.

An institution's evaluation should take into account sports played in the high schools and communities from which it draws its students, both as an indication of possible interest at the institution, and to permit the institution to plan to meet the interests of admitted students of the underrepresented sex. For example, if OCR's investigation finds that a substantial number of high schools from the relevant region offer a particular sport that the institution does not offer for the underrepresented sex, OCR will ask the institution to provide a basis for any assertion that its students and admitted students are not interested in playing that sport. OCR also may interview students, admitted students, coaches, and others regarding interest in that sport.

\(^{16}\) See Footnote 15 above.
- opinions of coaches, administrators, and athletes at the institution regarding whether interested students and admitted students have the potential to sustain an intercollegiate team; and
- if the team has previously competed at the club or intramural level, whether the competitive experience of the team indicates that it has the potential to sustain an intercollegiate team.

Additionally, because OCR recognizes that students may have a broad range of athletic experiences and abilities, OCR also examines other indications of ability such as:

- participation in other sports, intercollegiate, interscholastic or otherwise, that may demonstrate skills or abilities that are fundamental to the particular sport being considered; and
- tryouts or other direct observations of participation in the particular sport in which there is interest.

As the 1996 Clarification indicated, neither a poor competitive record, nor the inability of interested students or admitted students to play at the same level of competition engaged in by the institution’s other athletes, is conclusive evidence of lack of ability. For the purposes of assessing ability, it is sufficient that interested students and admitted students have the potential to sustain an intercollegiate team.

5. Frequency of Assessments

As discussed in the 1996 Clarification, OCR evaluates whether an institution assesses interest and ability periodically so that the institution can identify in a timely and responsive manner any developing interests and abilities of the underrepresented sex. There are several factors OCR considers when determining the rate of frequency for conducting an assessment. These factors include, but are not limited to:

- the degree to which the previous assessment captured the interests and abilities of the institution’s students and admitted students of the underrepresented sex;
- changes in demographics or student population at the institution;\(^{17}\) and
- whether there have been complaints from the underrepresented sex with regard to a lack of athletic opportunities or requests for the addition of new teams.

Further, OCR will consider whether an institution conducts more frequent assessments if a previous assessment detected levels of student interest and ability in any sport that were close to the minimum number of players required to sustain a team.

\(^{17}\) For example, in a typical four-year institution, the student body population will change substantially each year, by approximately 25 percent annually.
6. Effective Procedures for Evaluating Requests to Add Teams and Assessing Participation

An institution has a continuing obligation to comply with Title IX’s nondiscrimination requirements; thus, OCR recommends that institutions have effective ongoing procedures for collecting, maintaining, and analyzing information on the interests and abilities of students of the underrepresented sex, including easily understood policies and procedures for receiving and responding to requests for additional teams, and wide dissemination of such policies and procedures to existing and newly admitted students, as well as to coaches and other employees.

OCR also recommends that institutions develop procedures for, and maintain documentation from, routine monitoring of participation of the underrepresented sex in club and intramural sports as part of their assessment of student interests and abilities. OCR further recommends that institutions develop procedures for, and maintain documentation from, evaluations of the participation of the underrepresented sex in high school athletic programs, amateur athletic associations, and community sports leagues that operate in areas from which the institution draws its students. This is the type of documentation that may be needed in order for an institution to demonstrate that it is assessing interests and abilities in compliance with Part Three.

The Title IX regulation requires institutions to designate at least one employee to coordinate their efforts to comply with and carry out their Title IX responsibilities.\(^\text{18}\) Therefore, institutions may wish to consider whether the monitoring and documentation of participation in club, intramural, and interscholastic sports and the processing of requests for the addition or elevation of athletic teams should be part of the responsibilities of their Title IX coordinators in conjunction with their athletic departments. Another option an institution may wish to consider is to create a Title IX committee to carry out these functions. If an institution chooses to form such a committee, it should include the Title IX coordinator as part of the committee and provide appropriate training on the Title IX requirements for committee members.

7. Survey May Assist in Capturing Information on Students’ Interests and Abilities

As discussed in the 1996 Clarification, institutions may use a variety of techniques to identify students’ interests and abilities. OCR recognizes that a properly designed and implemented survey is one tool that can assist an institution in capturing information on students’ interests and abilities. OCR evaluates a survey as one component of an institution’s overall assessment under Part Three and will not accept an institution’s reliance on a survey alone, regardless of the response rate, to determine whether it is fully and effectively accommodating the interests and abilities of its underrepresented students. If an institution conducts a survey as part of its assessment, OCR examines the content, implementation and response rates of the survey, as well as an institution’s other methods of measuring interest and ability.

\(^{18}\) 34 C.F.R. § 106.8(a).
Under Part Three, OCR evaluates the overall weight it will accord the conclusions drawn by an institution from the results of a survey by examining the following factors, among others:

- content of the survey;
- target population surveyed;
- response rates and treatment of non-responses;
- confidentiality protections; and
- frequency of conducting the survey.

OCR also considers whether a survey is implemented in such a way as to maximize the possibility of obtaining accurate information and facilitating responses. A properly designed survey should effectively capture information on interest and ability\(^1\) across multiple sports, without complicating responses with superfluous or confusing questions.

OCR has not endorsed or sanctioned any particular survey; however, for technical assistance purposes, this letter contains information that an institution may wish to consider in developing its own survey.

a. **Content of the Survey**

i. **Purpose**

To ensure students understand the importance of responding to the survey, OCR evaluates whether a survey clearly states its purpose. For technical assistance purposes, an example of a purpose statement might be:

**Purpose:** This data collection is being conducted for evaluation, research, and planning purposes and may be used along with other information to determine whether [Institution] is effectively accommodating the athletic interests and abilities of its students, including whether to add additional teams.

ii. **Collect information regarding all sports**

In addition, OCR evaluates whether the survey lists all sports for the underrepresented sex recognized by the three primary national intercollegiate athletic associations,\(^2\) and contains an open-ended inquiry for other sports to allow students to write in any sports that are not

---

\(^{1}\) Experience in sports generally is one indicator of ability.

\(^{2}\) These associations are the National Collegiate Athletic Association, the National Association of Intercollegiate Athletics, and the National Junior College Athletic Association. A current list of these sports for both sexes is: baseball, basketball, bowling, cross country, fencing, field hockey, football, golf, gymnastics, ice hockey, lacrosse, rifle, rowing, skiing, soccer, softball, swimming and diving, tennis, indoor track and field, outdoor track and field, volleyball, water polo, and wrestling.
OCR considers whether the survey allows students to identify their interest in future or current participation in all of the sports they identify and general athletic experience. OCR also considers whether the survey allows students to provide additional information or comments about their interest, experience, and ability. For technical assistance purposes, the types of questions an institution could ask regarding interest in future participation, current participation, and prior athletic experience might be:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sport</th>
<th>Interest in Future Participation: At what level do you wish to participate in this sport at [Institution]?</th>
<th>Current Participation: At what level are you participating in this sport?</th>
<th>Prior Experience: At what level did you participate in this sport or any other relevant sport in high school, college, or in another capacity?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Basketball</td>
<td>☐ Intercollegiate ☐ Club ☐ Intramural ☐ Recreational</td>
<td>☐ Intercollegiate ☐ Club ☐ Intramural ☐ Recreational ☐ Other _____________</td>
<td>College ☐ Intercollegiate ☐ Club ☐ Intramural ☐ Recreational ☐ Other ___________</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lacrosse</td>
<td>☐ Intercollegiate ☐ Club ☐ Intramural ☐ Recreational</td>
<td>☐ Intercollegiate ☐ Club ☐ Intramural ☐ Recreational ☐ Other _____________</td>
<td>College ☐ Intercollegiate ☐ Club ☐ Intramural ☐ Recreational ☐ Other ___________</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other sport identified by student(^{22})</td>
<td>☐ Intercollegiate ☐ Club ☐ Intramural ☐ Recreational</td>
<td>☐ Intercollegiate ☐ Club ☐ Intramural ☐ Recreational ☐ Other _____________</td>
<td>College ☐ Intercollegiate ☐ Club ☐ Intramural ☐ Recreational ☐ Other ___________</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High School</td>
<td>☐ Varsity ☐ Junior Varsity ☐ Club</td>
<td>High School ☐ Varsity ☐ Junior Varsity ☐ Club</td>
<td>☐ Intramural ☐ Recreational ☐ Other ___________</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

iii. Contact Information

OCR also looks at whether an institution requests contact information, to allow the institution to follow-up with students who wish to be contacted regarding their interests and abilities.

b. Target Population Surveyed

OCR considers the target population surveyed at the institution. Under Part Three, OCR evaluates whether the survey is administered as a census to all full-time undergraduate

---

\(^{21}\) An open-ended inquiry for other sports should be prominent or otherwise readily visible and contain a line or other mechanism for students to write in the sport for which they wish to express interest and ability.

\(^{22}\) If the survey is provided in paper form, an institution should provide a surplus of rows to ensure that a respondent can provide information for all the sports for which there is interest.
students of the underrepresented sex and admitted students of the underrepresented sex. Using a census of all students can avoid several issues associated with sample surveys including, but not limited to: selection of the sampling mechanism, selection of the sample size, calculation of sampling error, and using sample estimates. If an institution intends to administer a survey to a sample population to gauge an estimate of interests and abilities, the larger the sample, the more weight OCR will accord the estimate.

c. Responses: Rates and Treatment of Non-Responses

OCR evaluates whether the survey is administered in a manner designed to generate high response rates and how institutions treat responses and non-responses.

OCR looks at whether institutions provide the survey in a context that encourages high response rates, and whether institutions widely publicize the survey; give students, including those participating in club or intramural sports, advance notice of the survey; and provide students adequate time to respond. Generally, OCR accords more weight to a survey with a higher response rate than a survey with a lower response rate, and institutions may want to distribute the survey through multiple mechanisms to increase the response rate.

For example, for enrolled students, an institution may want to administer the survey as part of a mandatory activity, such as during course registration. If administered as part of a mandatory activity, students also should have the option of completing the survey at a later date in order to ensure that they have adequate time to respond. Students who indicate that they wish to complete the survey at a later time should be given the opportunity to provide their contact information to enable the institution to take steps to ensure that they complete the survey. An institution should follow-up with those students who indicate that they wish to respond in the future.

An institution also may choose to send an email to the entire target population that includes a link to the survey. If an institution’s assessment process includes email, OCR considers whether the institution takes appropriate cautionary measures, such as ensuring that it has accurate email addresses and that the target population has access to email. OCR also expects institutions to take additional steps to follow-up with those who do not respond, including sending widely publicized reminder notices.

If institutions administer the survey through a web-based distribution system, students who indicate that they have no current interest in athletic participation should be asked to confirm their lack of interest before they exit the system. If response rates using the methods described

\[23\] For example, institutions may distribute surveys to all admitted students of the underrepresented sex with acceptance letters.

\[24\] OCR also evaluates whether the survey is administered in a manner designed to ensure the accurate identity of the respondent and to protect against multiple responses by the same individual.

\[25\] Students may have, or may be unaware of whether they will have, a future interest in athletic participation.
above are low, an institution should consider administering the survey in another manner to obtain higher response rates.

OCR does not consider non-responses to surveys as evidence of lack of interest or ability in athletics. As discussed above, regardless of whether students respond to a survey, OCR also evaluates whether students’ interest and abilities are assessed using the multiple indicators described above.

  d. Confidentiality Protections

OCR also looks at whether institutions notify students that all responses as well as any personally identifiable information they provide will be kept confidential, although the aggregate survey information will be shared with athletic directors, coaches, and other staff, as appropriate. When requesting any personal or personally identifiable data, protecting the respondents’ confidentiality helps to ensure that institutions obtain high-quality data and high response rates. If a student has expressed interest in being contacted when responding to the survey, an institution should continue to maintain the student’s confidentiality except to the extent needed to follow-up with the student.

  e. Frequency of Conducting the Survey

As discussed above, OCR evaluates whether an institution periodically conducts an assessment of interest and abilities. In addition to the factors OCR considers when determining the rate of frequency for conducting an assessment, OCR also will consider factors such as the size of the previously assessed survey population and the rate of response to the immediately preceding survey(s) conducted by the institution, if any.

8. Multiple Indicators Evaluated to Assess Sufficient Number of Interested and Able Students to Sustain a Team

Under Part Three, institutions are not required to create an intercollegiate team or elevate a club team to intercollegiate status unless there are a sufficient number of interested and able students to sustain a team. When OCR evaluates whether there are a sufficient number of students, OCR considers such indicators as the:

- minimum number of participants needed for a particular sport;
- opinions of athletic directors and coaches concerning the abilities required to field an intercollegiate team; and
- size of a team in a particular sport at institutions in the governing athletic association or conference to which the institution belongs or in the institution’s competitive regions.

When evaluating the minimum number of athletes needed, OCR may consider factors such as the:
• rate of substitutions necessitated by factors such as length of competitions, intensity of
play, or injury;
• variety of skill sets required for competition; and
• minimum number of athletes needed to conduct effective practices for skill
development.

B. Reasonable Expectation of Competition — OCR Evaluation Criteria

Lastly, as indicated in the 1996 Clarification, OCR evaluates whether there is a reasonable
expectation of intercollegiate competition for the team in the institution’s normal competitive
regions. In evaluating available competition, OCR considers available competitive opportunities
in the geographic area in which the institution’s athletes primarily compete, including:

• competitive opportunities offered by other schools against which the institution
competes; and
• competitive opportunities offered by other schools in the institution’s geographic area,
including those offered by schools against which the institution does not now
compete.\textsuperscript{26}

If the information or documentation compiled by the institution during the assessment process
shows that there is sufficient interest and ability to support a new intercollegiate team and a
reasonable expectation of intercollegiate competition in the institution’s normal competitive
region for the team, the institution is under an obligation to create an intercollegiate team
within a reasonable period of time in order to comply with Part Three.

Conclusion

The three-part test gives institutions flexibility and affords them control over their athletics
programs. This flexibility, however, must be used consistent with Title IX’s nondiscrimination
requirements. OCR will continue to work with institutions to assist them in finding ways to
address their particular circumstances and comply with Title IX. For technical assistance, please
contact the OCR enforcement office that serves your area, found at

Sincerely,

\begin{center}
Russlynn Ali
Assistant Secretary for Civil Rights
\end{center}

\textsuperscript{26} Under the 1979 Policy Interpretation, an institution also may be required to actively encourage the development
of intercollegiate competition for a sport for members of the underrepresented sex when overall athletic
opportunities within its competitive region have been historically limited for members of that sex. 44 Fed. Reg. at
71418.